```
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 1
                       EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
 2
                             EASTERN DIVISION
 3
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                Plaintiff,
 5
                                          No. 4:09-CR-757 CAS
           VS.
 6
      JEFFREY GREENWELL,
 7
                Defendant.
 8
                   TRANSCRIPT OF CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING
 9
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES A. SHAW
10
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
                               June 22, 2011
12
      APPEARANCES:
13
                          Ms. Carrie Costantin
      For Plaintiff:
14
                           OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEY
                           111 South 10th Street
15
                           20th Floor
                           St. Louis, MO 63102
16
      For Defendant:
                           Ms. JoAnn Trog
17
                           MENEES WHITNEY BURNET & TROG
                           121 W. Adams
18
                           St. Louis, MO 63122
19
20
      REPORTED BY:
                           SUSAN R. MORAN, RMR
                           Official Court Reporter
21
                           111 South 10th Street
                           St. Louis, MO 63102
22
                           (314) 244-7983
23
      Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, produced by
24
      computer-aided transcription.
25
```

(The following proceedings were held in open court 1 2 on June 22, 2011 at 10:11 a.m.:) 3 THE COURT: This is United States of America versus Jeffrey Greenwell. Representing the United States is 4 5 Assistant United States Attorney Carrie Costantin. representing Mr. Greenwell is Attorney JoAnn Trog. 6 7 And I understand that we're here for a plea to the 8 superseding indictment. How about that, Ms. Trog? 9 MS. TROG: That is correct, Your Honor. We've received a plea agreement from Ms. Costantin last week. 10 Mr. Greenwell and I have went over it. We discussed it 11 12 thoroughly. And it's Mr. Greenwell's decision, Your Honor, 13 which he will so state, that it is his desire that he enter a 14 plea of guilty to all five counts of the indictment. 15 THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Greenwell, will you 16 raise your right hand and be sworn. 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. (Defendant sworn.) 18 19 THE COURT: Mr. Greenwell, is it correct what your attorney, Ms. Trog, said, that you wish to enter a guilty 20 plea in this matter, that you've gone over the Plea Agreement 21 that's been sent to you by Ms. Costantin? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. I need to ask you a few 24 25 questions to make sure that your plea is voluntary and

```
knowing; that is, you are doing this of your own free will
 1
 2
      and you understand what you're doing. So, first of all, how
 3
      old are you?
               THE DEFENDANT: I'm 39.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Thirty what?
               THE DEFENDANT:
 6
                               Thirty-nine.
 7
               THE COURT: And how far have you gone in school?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Twelfth grade.
 9
               THE COURT: Are you presently under the influence of
      any drugs, alcohol, or medication?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
11
12
               THE COURT: Are you suffering from any mental
13
      disease or defect?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Costantin, for the
16
      record, would you advise us as to the charges and range of
17
      punishment?
18
               MS. COSTANTIN: The defendant is charged with five
      counts of production of child pornography. The range of
19
20
      punishment for each count is from 15 to 30 years, so it is a
      minimum of 15-year sentence on each count. There's a fine up
21
22
      to $250,000. And a special assessment of $100 per count.
23
      addition, the defendant may be placed on a period of
      supervised release after his incarceration for a period of
24
25
      five years up to lifetime term of supervised release.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, as far as the sentences, the 15- to 30-year sentence on each count, those can be run concurrently; that is, together, or consecutively, on top of each other. THE COURT: Very well. Do you understand that, Mr. Greenwell? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Costantin, what would be the government's evidence that it could prove beyond a reasonable doubt had this matter gone to trial? MS. COSTANTIN: Your Honor, the government's evidence would show beyond a reasonable doubt that in August of 2009, the U.S. Department of Justice received information that an individual with the nickname "Muddyfeet" was molesting children and had produced child pornography. Images of a minor male and the lascivious display of his genitals were sent by "Muddyfeet" to a cooperating individual. Internet service provider records found that the IP address for "Muddyfeet" resolved to the account of the defendant in Sullivan, Missouri. Investigators subsequently identified the minor male in the photographs as B.E., a boy under the age of 12. B.E. was interviewed and stated the defendant had taken photographs of B.E.'s nude penis. On October 23rd, 2009, a valid Franklin County

Circuit Court search warrant was executed at the defendant's residence in Sullivan in the Eastern District of Missouri.

Two computers, hard drives, a Nikon digital camera, a Sony

Handycam camera, and a Casio digital camera and numerous CDs,

DVDs were seized among other items.

The next day the defendant's landlord found another

Casio camera wrapped in clothing in defendant's dresser. He

gave that camera to the Franklin County Sheriff's Department.

Two subsequent valid Franklin County Circuit Court search

warrants were issued to examine the seized material.

The defendant was arrested, advised of his Miranda rights, and waived his rights. He told the investigators that he had taken photographs of B.E.'s nude penis and had touched B.E.'s nude penis with his hand. The defendant babysat for B.E. He stated that he had encrypted his hard drives. He stated that he had made "Scooby Doo" introductions to several child pornography videos that he had received from other individuals. Those individuals had produced the child pornography videos.

He stated he preferred boys between the ages of eight and 12. He stated that he was the monitor of the IRC trading channel for the Undernet channel known as "aLL bois," b-o-i-s. He stated that he used the screen name of "Muddyfeet" and traded child pornography with others.

The defendant told investigators that he had taken

photographs of the nude penis of another minor male, K.A., with his Casio camera.

The embedded data on the child pornography images of B.E. showed that they were taken with a Casio brand digital camera. Between January 1st, 2007 and October 23rd, 2009, at defendant's residence in the Eastern District of Missouri, the defendant used B.E. to engage in sexually explicit conduct; that is, the lascivious exhibition of B.E.'s genitals and defendant performing oral sex on B.E. And defendant took photographs of such sexually explicit conduct with defendant's Casio brand digital camera. That Casio brand camera was manufactured outside Missouri and therefore traveled in interstate commerce to reach Missouri.

A forensic examination of defendant's Gateway computer found that it contained images of defendant masturbating and performing oral sex on S.J., a boy under the age of 12. The computer also contained images of defendant masturbating K.A., defendant performing oral sex on K.A., and K.A. performing oral sex on defendant. Some of those images depicted K.A. when he was less than 12 years old.

The computer also contained images of B.K., a minor male, in the lascivious display of his genitals.

The computer also contained images of defendant masturbating K.S. and performing oral sex on K.S. K.S. was a minor male under the age of eight.

Defendant took those photographs with his Casio brand digital cameras, his Nikon camera, and his Sony Handycam camera. S.J., K.A., B.K., and K.S. were in defendant's care at the time the photographs were taken.

Between January 1st, 2007 and October 23rd, 2009, at defendant's residence in the Eastern District of Missouri, the defendant used S.J. to engage in sexually explicit conduct; that is, the defendant masturbating S.J. and defendant performing oral sex on S.J. And the defendant took photographs of such sexually explicit conduct with defendant's Nikon, Casio, or Sony Handycam cameras.

Between January 1st, 2003 and October 23rd, 2009, at defendant's residence in the Eastern District of Missouri, the defendant used K.A. to engage in sexually explicit conduct; that is, the defendant masturbating K.A., the defendant performing oral sex on K.A., and K.A. performing oral sex on the defendant. And defendant took photographs of such sexually explicit conduct with defendant's Casio brand digital camera and his Sony Handycam.

Between January 1st, 2008 and October 23rd, 2009, at defendant's residence in the Eastern District of Missouri, the defendant used B.K. to engage in sexually explicit conduct; that is, the lascivious exhibition of B.K.'s genitals. And defendant took photographs of such sexually explicit conduct with his Nikon, Casio, or Sony Handycam

cameras.

Between January 1st, 2008 and October 23rd, 2008 -2009 -- excuse me, at defendant's residence in the Eastern

District of Missouri, the defendant used K.S. to engage in
sexually explicit conduct; that is, the lascivious exhibition
of K.S.'s genitals, the defendant masturbating K.S., and
defendant performing oral sex on K.S. And defendant took
photographs of such sexually explicit conduct with his Nikon,
Casio, or Sony Handycam cameras.

The Nikon, Casio, and Sony cameras were manufactured outside the United States and therefore traveled in foreign and interstate commerce.

That would be the government's evidence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that what happened,

Mr. Greenwell?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Now, you understand you don't have to plead guilty if you don't wish to do so, you could have a trial by jury. You could require the government, Ms. Costantin or one of the other Assistant United States Attorneys, to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt to 12 citizens making up a jury. They would have to do that by bringing evidence into open court. And you would have the right to an attorney, Ms. Trog or whoever else, throughout any such trial as well as after. Your

attorney could cross-examine the government witnesses, 1 2 examine all the evidence, could through the power of subpoena 3 require witnesses to come to court to testify in your behalf. You could testify for yourself if you wished to do so, but 4 5 you could not be required to testify. And if you chose not to testify, the government could make no comment about your 6 7 decision. 8 Also, you would be presumed innocent throughout any 9 such trial. However, when you enter a guilty plea, there's no trial whatsoever, you just enter your guilty plea, and we 10 11 proceed on toward sentencing at a later date. 12 Now, do you understand this? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Very well. Counsel, have there been any 15 threats or promises made to Mr. Greenwell to get him to plead 16 quilty? 17 MS. COSTANTIN: No, Your Honor. MS. TROG: No, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: Mr. Greenwell, how about that, any threats or promises made to get you to plead guilty? 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Greenwell, are you 23 pleading guilty because you are in fact guilty, you did commit these offenses? 24 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: How do you plead?
 1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty.
               THE COURT: The Court will accept your plea, find
 3
      you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Schedule your
 4
 5
      sentencing for June 22nd -- oh, sorry, September 22nd, 2011
 6
      at 2 p.m.
 7
               What, if anything, else?
               MS. TROG: Nothing further on behalf of defendant,
 8
 9
      Your Honor.
               MS. COSTANTIN: Nothing further on behalf of the
10
11
      state, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Very well. Thank you all.
13
               (Court in recess at 10:22 a.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Susan R. Moran, Registered Merit Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the proceedings in the above-mentioned court; and that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct, and complete transcript of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not attorney for, nor employed by, nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this action, nor financially interested in the action.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 - 11 and that this reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied by any party other than this reporter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at St. Louis, Missouri, this 10th day of January, 2014.

/s/ Susan R. Moran

/s/ Susan R. Moran Registered Merit Reporter